



Principle Security.

ORIGINAL RESEARCH · 2026 EDITION

The Credit Union Cyber Incident *Landscape*

What NCUA's incident-reporting data actually says about where credit-union cyber risk lives — 1,072 reports, 13 vendor events, and the examination priorities they shaped.

JULY 2026 · [PRINCIPLESEC.COM/RESEARCH](https://principlesec.com/research) · PRINCIPLES FIRST, SECURITY ALWAYS

— KEY FINDINGS

Seven in ten incidents weren't about the credit union at all

In the first twelve months of NCUA's 72-hour cyber incident notification rule (September 1, 2023 – August 31, 2024), federally insured credit unions filed **1,072 incident reports**. The defining pattern: the credit union cyber problem is overwhelmingly a **vendor** problem.

1,072

Incident reports in the rule's first year

69%

Traced to third-party service providers (742 reports)

13

Vendor events behind all 742 third-party reports

434

Credit unions impacted by the two largest vendor events alone

46:1

Vendor-related reports vs. ransomware reports

539

Reports in the 12 months ending April 2025 – volume halved as reporting calibrated

Bottom line: when one shared provider stumbles, hundreds of institutions file the same 72-hour report on the same day – and their members feel the same outage. Concentration risk is the sector's defining cyber exposure.

Incident reports by category – year one

Category	Reports	Share
Third party	742	69.2%
ATM / ITM	118	11.0%
Other	101	9.4%
Email compromise	95	8.9%
Ransomware	16	1.5%

— VENDOR CONCENTRATION

Thirteen events. Two of them hit 434 credit unions.

The 742 third-party reports collapse into **13 distinct vendor events**. Five events account for 78% of all vendor-related reports; the average event touched **57 institutions**.

Vendor event	Credit unions impacted
Largest	234
Second largest	200
Third largest	55
Fourth largest	50
Fifth largest	40
Remaining 8 combined	163

Counts may include credit unions impacted by multiple events, per NCUA's caveat.

Ransomware is loud. Vendors are lethal.

Ransomware produced just **16 of 1,072 reports (1.5%)** – though stakes per event remain brutal: FBI/CISA put typical demands at **\$1-10 million**, and financial services ranks 5th most-targeted among 16 critical infrastructure sectors.

Strip out vendor events, and credit unions' own incidents split largely between **ATM/ITM cyber-fraud (36%)** and **business email compromise (29%)** – operational fraud surfaces, not exotic intrusions.

For boards: the headline threat in the news and the frequent threat in the data are different things. Budget for both – but audit vendor oversight first.

Strong tools, weak programs

Across four years of Information Security Examinations, NCUA reports consistent **strengths** in anti-malware, patching, access controls, policies, and network controls — the things you can buy. Its named **opportunities for improvement**: information security risk assessments, business continuity, incident response programs, and third-party vendor examination — the things you have to *operate*.

The gap is coherent: the sector's biggest reported exposure (vendors) maps directly onto its weakest examined discipline (vendor oversight). NCUA's **2026 supervisory priorities** (Letter 26-CU-01) respond in kind — examiners will assess "governance and risk assessment frameworks, vendor management and oversight, security controls to protect member data" around payment systems — and NCUA's cybersecurity briefing urges boards to **provide for recurring training** and approve the information security program.

Five moves the data argues for

- ✓ **Risk-tier the vendor inventory** and put real evidence requirements on the critical tier — 69% of the sector's incident reports started there.
- ✓ **Wire vendor-incident intake into your 72-hour reporting path** — most reports you will ever file begin with a provider's outage notice, not your own SOC.
- ✓ **Rehearse the reporting decision** before the clock starts: criteria, templates, and a tabletop beat improvisation at 2 a.m.
- ✓ **Fund the programs, not just the tools** — risk assessment, business continuity, and incident response are where examinations consistently find gaps.
- ✓ **Calendar recurring board cybersecurity training** — NCUA guidance calls for it, and the 2026 priorities letter is addressed to your board.

Sources & methodology

NCUA Cybersecurity Board Briefing (Oct 24, 2024); NCUA 2025 Cybersecurity & Credit Union System Resilience Report to Congress (May 2024–Apr 2025; 539 incidents, none systemic, \$86T transactions); NCUA 2026 Supervisory Priorities (Jan 14, 2026); FBI IC3 2023 and CISA advisories for sector context. Percentages computed by Principle Security from published figures.

— PUT THIS INTO PRACTICE

Your examiners have read this data. Have you?

Principle Security builds the vendor-oversight and incident-reporting programs this landscape demands – from operators who have run credit-union security programs through real examination cycles.

[Book a 30-minute intro](#)

info@principlesec.com · [+1 \(877\) 886-0677](tel:+18778860677) · principlesec.com/services/ncua
Full report: principlesec.com/research/credit-union-cyber-incident-landscape